IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA EASTERN DISTRICT

FRANK ZAMPOGNA. : No. 17 EAL 2014

Respondent

: Petition for Allowance of Appeal from the

: Order of the Commonwealth Court

:

LAW ENFORCEMENT HEALTH

٧.

BENEFITS, INC.,

•

Petitioner

<u>ORDER</u>

PER CURIAM

AND NOW, this 9th day of September, 2014, the Petition for Allowance of Appeal is hereby **GRANTED** on the following issues as stated by Petitioner:

- a. Whether Courts may disregard the vital function of corporate self-governance by second-guessing the decisions of corporate directors and failing to provide the required deference to their decision simply because the court may not agree with the decision?
- b. Whether a court may simply usurp the role of corporate directors by negating the presumption of good-faith to the decisions of directors required by law by simply asserting, without requiring any proof thereof, a conflict of interest by the directors?
- c. Whether funds, whose original source was a public entity, retain their public character once the public entity distributes those funds to a private corporation in satisfaction of an obligation, and after the private corporation had retained those funds as corporate assets, and after that corporation utilized the corporate assets for a corporate purpose?